

73-007

18 December 1972

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller****SUBJECT: Guidelines Evolved in Classification/  
Declassification Process**

1. As of this date we have processed, totally or in part, some thirty requests for declassification of documents originated by this Agency or its predecessors. By and large, our responses to such requests have been negative. A pattern of rationale in response to these requests has developed empirically. Generally, we have treated the requests within the exemption categories defined in Executive Order 11652.

2. Taking those exemptions in the order in which they are presented, we have dealt with them as follows:

a. Material derived from foreign liaison.

We have refused declassification on material derived from foreign liaison unless the government concerned has acquiesced in the release. We have viewed this concept as including materials exchanged between allies in time of war, covering even tactical intelligence. Perhaps we have been a little too broad in this last interpretation.

b. Sources and methods.

We have been quite consistent in insisting upon protection of human sources of intelligence, living and dead, past and present. We have not objected to the release of names of OSS personnel if they were not under cover and if they were no longer with this Agency in an intelligence collection capacity. We have further protected the names of intelligence officers from other

services, including foreign. When it appears that the individual has been an unwitting source, we have continued to protect. On methods, we have religiously protected methods involving intelligence collection and techniques and cover where such cover was part of the intelligence process and not merely an administrative convenience. That is, we have made no effort to protect the identity of OSS Army units functioning as part of the Army. We have concurred in the identification of certain OSS units in the Far East as intelligence units where such units were identified as part of the military services.


c. Special Foreign Relations.

To date, we have not released OSS or CIA documents which could have a deleterious impact upon the conduct of our foreign relations with a second or third party. We have not had many of those but will have an increasing number of requests for such documents as the historians try to untangle the root of such things as United States relations with Chetniks, the United States posture relative to the reestablishment of colonialism and the like.

d. Personal jeopardy.

We have had no requests involving this category but quite obviously our stance here is apparent and consistent with the position we have taken to exemption 2.

3. The one area where we have developed a position declassification which doesn't directly derive from the Executive Order is with regard to finished strategic intelligence of the nature of intelligence estimates. We have refused declassification of such items consistently. Our reasoning, as I view it, is based upon two



25X1

4. It is apparent that tedious, word-by-word review of documents is an expensive and time-consuming process. Where we have identified document sets which we intend to proscribe from declassification, we can use an initial determination of continued classification as the basis for eliminating the word-by-word review. That is, having determined that we do not propose to declassify National Intelligence Estimates, based upon the matrix theory, there is no need to review each individual NIE. Further, we can eliminate from review documents which are currently working papers, drafts, or which are not intended to remain in existence beyond ten years. Since the Executive Order requires a mandatory review only of documents after they are ten years old, we are not required to answer requests for declassification on documents not meeting that age criterion.

5. Certainly at this early stage in the implementation of the Executive Order we have not encountered all of the problems that we will eventually confront. As new ones arise, and we establish an Agency precedence for handling them, such actions should be committed to the files so that we build up a body of precedence and continuity which will enable the Director to meet his statutory responsibilities.

[Redacted]  
Special Assistant for Information Control

Distribution:

Orig - ExDir; SAIC files

1 - Rcds. Mgt. Bd. Members: Messrs. [Redacted]

1 - [Redacted] DGC  
1 - [Redacted] A/DDP  
1 - [Redacted] OS  
1 - [Redacted] D/CRS

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASS		LOCATION TOP AND BOTTOM	
<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET
<b>OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP</b>			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1			
2	Room 7D 01, Hqs.		
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN	
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE	
<b>Remarks:</b>  <p>The attached memorandum is forwarded for your information.</p> <p>It contains a distillation of the actions which the Agency has taken to date on requests for declassification and the reasoning supporting the various categories of refusal. This set of pragmatic ground rules may be of use to you in further processing of requests for declassification.</p>			
<b>FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER</b>			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
			2 Jan 73
<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET	

FORM NO. 1-67 **237** Use previous editions

(40)

NOTE ATTACHED TO OGG 73-0006. MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR COMPTROLLER DATED, 18 DECEMBER 1972